

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bec 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1480 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,909	02/20/2002	Christoph Schwemler	Mo6846/LeA 33,663	8764
157	7590 06/01/2004		EXAMINER	
BAYER POLYMERS LLC 100 BAYER ROAD			PHASGE, ARUN S	
	GH, PA 15205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1753	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/009,909	SCHWEMLER E	T AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Arun S. Phasge	1753					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may t. It reply within the statutory minimum of the riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Matute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time IONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	6 May 2004.						
2a) <u></u> □	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
3)[☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1, 3-9</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ad/ar alaatian raquiramant						
ا_(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	id/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	•	, ,					
44)[7]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col	•	• • •	, ,				
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attacr	ned Office Action or form P	10-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum		A marka arka a Bia					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	I Stago				
	application from the International Bu	·	en received in this Mationa	i Stage				
* 5	see the attached detailed Office action for a		ot received.					
Attachment	i(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	w Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB		lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		,				

Application/Control Number: 10/009,909

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shigeniwa of record in view of Bennett of record.

Shigeniwa discloses the claimed method for the treatment of water having the TOC and containing carbonic acid with ozone, wherein the treatment occurs at a temperature range, pressure range and pH value range and time period which falls within the claimed values (see translation of the claims and pages 2-3). The reference further discloses the relationship between pH and the amount of zone added as well as the amount of the TOC (see bottom of page 3).

The reference does not disclose that the water is the wastewater from a bisphenol A polycarbonate product facility. The invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the process disclosed in the Shigeniwa reference, because the wastewater being treated contains essentially the same composition as the raw water treated in the Shigeniwa reference.

The reference further does not disclose the amount of chloride in the water. The reference further does not disclose the use of the treated water in the electrolysis of water to form chlorine in a membrane cell.

The Bennett patent is cited to show the use of brine that has been pretreated by the addition of the claimed oxidant in a membrane cell to form chlorine (see abstract and col. 1, lines 60-68). The reference further discloses the range of the salt as claimed (see col. 4, lines 30-36).

Consequently, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made by modifying the disclosure of Shigeniwa patent which discloses the purification of water containing contaminants by the addition of ozone with the teachings contained in the Bennett patent, because the Bennett patent teaches that such purification of water containing oxidizable impurities by the addition of oxidants, such as ozone, produce a purified salt containing water that can be electrolyzed to produce chlorine.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 5/6/04, with respect to claims 1, 5-7 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The final rejection of the claims has been withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,909

Art Unit: 1753

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5-7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

Applicants argue that the elements of the present invention are old, and that the combination as presently claimed has not been disclosed. The examiner has demonstrated above that one having ordinary skill would have been motivated by the combination of references to use a purified salt containing solution to produce chlorine, because the Bennett patent teaches such a use.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 1753

(571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arun S. Phasge

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1753